## Relaxation at late stages in an entropy barrier model for glassy systems

K. P. N. Murthy and K.W. Kehr Institut für Festkörperforschung, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, D-52425 Jülich, Germany

## Abstract

The ground state dynamics of an entropy barrier model proposed recently for describing relaxation of glassy systems, is considered. At late stages of evolution the dynamics can be described by a simple variant of the Ehrenfest urn model. Analytical expressions for the relaxation times from arbitrary initial states to the ground state are derived. Upper and lower bounds for the relaxation times as a function of system size are obtained.

The Ehrenfest urn [1] model has played a crucial role in formulating and clarifying several fundamental and subtle concepts of statistical mechanics. In this model distinguishable balls are placed in two boxes (or urns). The dynamics consists of picking up a ball randomly and transferring it from its box to the other. Concepts like what does one mean by an equilibrium state, how does the system approach, eventually reach and thereafter persist in equilibrium, and the meaning of fluctuations that take the system away from its equilibrium state, become transparent when considering the time evolution in the Ehrenfest urn model. Interest in the Ehrenfest urn model, its variants and its generalizations has been revived recently following the work of Ritort [2]; see [3,4], for some subsequent work on Ritort's and related models.

Ritort's model essentially describes the relaxation of a nonequilibrium system. The ground state dynamics of Ritort's model at late stages of evolution is describable by a simple variant of the classical Ehrenfest urn model [5]. In this letter we employ first passage time formulation and obtain analytical expressions for the relaxation times from an arbitrary initial state of (the variant of) the Ehrenfest urn model.

In the model proposed by Ritort, N distinguishable balls are placed in N boxes. Energy is defined as minus the number of empty boxes. The dynamics is defined as follows. Select a ball and a box randomly and independently. If the selected box is non-empty deposit the ball in the box. If the box is empty, the transfer of the ball would increase the energy; hence carry out the transfer only with a probability given by the Boltzmann factor  $\exp[-\Delta E/K_BT]$ , where  $\Delta E$  (= 1) is the increase in the energy,  $K_B$  is the Boltzmann constant and T is the temperature. The static properties of this model can be calculated exactly. For example at the ground state, which is N- fold degenerate, the energy per particle is -1+1/N. All the balls are in one of the N boxes at the ground state. Ritort's model could explain several important characteristics of the glassy systems, like anomalously slow relaxation, aging, and hysteresis. Ritort's model is perhaps the first model in which only entropy barriers are present explicitly. The ground state dynamics of the model is equivalent to an Nurn generalization and variant of the Ehrenfest model. Moving a ball to an empty box is disallowed. Thus once a box becomes empty it stays empty for ever. As a result the number of empty boxes increases with time. The energy decreases with time and eventually reaches its ground state value. The relaxation of the energy is slow and becomes slower with time. This is easy to understand at least qualitatively, since lowering the occupancy of a box becomes less and less likely as the number of balls in the box becomes fewer and fewer. Let us denote by  $\Omega(N,N)$  the set of all possible configurations of distributing N balls in N boxes. Let  $\tau$  denote the time it takes for the system to relax to the ground state, averaged over the ensemble  $\Omega(N,N)$  of initial configurations. It is clear that the system before reaching the ground state, arrives invariably at one of the simpler non equilibrium configurations with only two boxes non empty. The other N-2 boxes have since been emptied during the evolution. Let us denote by  $\Omega(2,N)$  the set of all possible states with N balls in 2 boxes. Also let  $\tau_1$  denote the relaxation time averaged over the ensemble  $\Omega(2, N)$ of initial states. In a recent work, Lipowski [5] showed that  $\tau_1$  is less than but almost equal to  $\tau$ , for large N.

The purpose of this letter can be stated as follows. Lipowski [5] derived analytical expression for the relaxation from one of the simple states belonging to the set  $\Omega(2, N)$ . This state consists of only one ball in one box and the remaining N-1 balls in the other. Relaxation times from other states like k balls in one box and the rest in the other, were

calculated through a set recursion relations. Lipowski's work is a clever application of the formulation of Darling and Siegert [6], for first passage times of random walks. In this letter we employ a simpler and more recent first passage time formulation [7]. This method enables us to obtain closed-form expression for the relaxation time from an arbitrary initial state, a task which has not been possible in Lipowski's formulation. More importantly, employing the analytical expressions, we establish useful upper and lower bounds for the relaxation times from various initial states in terms of the system size.

We first consider the case with even number of balls distributed in two boxes. Accordingly let 2N be the total number of balls in the system. Let us consider a configuration with kballs in one box and the remaining 2N-k balls in the other. We say the system is in state k if the number of balls in the box of lower occupancy equals k. Thus k is less than or equal to N. Let  $G_{k,k-1}(\nu)$  denote the probability for the system to go from the state k to the state k-1, for the first time in exactly  $\nu$  time steps. Thus  $\nu$  is a discrete random variable and is called the First Passage Time(FPT). The first passage from k to k-1 can happen in two ways: (a) Select a ball from the box containing k balls and transfer it to the other taking one time step. The probability for this is k/2N. At the end of this step we are in the target state k-1. Hence  $\nu$ , the FPT is unity. (b) Select a ball from the box containing 2N-kballs and move it to the other box taking one step. The probability for this is (2N-k)/2N. At the end of this step we are in the state k+1. Now make a first passage from the state k+1 to the state k-1 in the remaining  $\nu-1$  steps. The above considerations hold good for all  $k \leq N-1$ . For k=N, we find that since both the boxes contain N balls each, selecting a ball from either and moving it to the other leads to the target state k-1, and the FPT is unity. The state N is thus reflecting. We have therefore,

$$\hat{G}_{k,k-1}(\nu) = \frac{k}{2N} \delta_{\nu,1} + \frac{2N - k}{2N} \hat{G}_{k+1,k-1}(\nu - 1), \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$\hat{G}_{N,N-1}(\nu) = \delta_{\nu,1}. \quad (1)$$

The above is the complete set of N equations for the FPT densities. Since the system starting from state k+1 can not reach the state k-1 without visiting the state k,  $\hat{G}_{k+1,k-1}(\nu)$  in the above can be expressed as a convolution given by,

$$\hat{G}_{k+1,k-1}(\nu) = \sum_{\eta=1}^{\nu-1} \hat{G}_{k+1,k}(\eta) \hat{G}_{k,k-1}(\nu - \eta).$$
(2)

The convolution in the above holds good in general for one dimensional problems with nearest neighbour hopping.

When the number of balls is odd, say 2N-1, the equations for the FPT densities remain formally the same except that, now the state N-1 is reflecting, in the following sense. Consider the first passage from the state N-1 to the state N-2, in  $\nu$  steps. There are two ways: (a) Move a ball from the box containing N-1 balls to the other box, in one step; the probability for this is (N-1)/(2N-1). At the end of this step we are in the target state N-2. Hence the FPT is unity. (b) Move a ball from the box containing N balls to the other box, taking one time step. The probability for this is N/(2N-1). At the end of this step we are in state N-1, the same state we started with. Now make a first passage from this state N-1 to the target state N-2 in the remaining  $\nu-1$  steps. Thus for odd number of balls we get,

$$\hat{G}_{k,k-1}(\nu) = \frac{k}{2N-1} \delta_{\nu,1} + \frac{2N-1-k}{2N-1} \hat{G}_{k+1,k-1}(\nu-1), \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, N-2$$

$$\hat{G}_{N-1,N-2}(\nu) = \frac{N-1}{2N-1} \delta_{\nu,1} + \frac{N}{2N-1} \hat{G}_{N-1,N-2}(\nu-1). \quad (3)$$

The above constitute the complete set of N-1 equations for the FPT densities for the case with odd number of balls. To solve the recursion relations (1) and (3) for the first passage time densities we employ generating function technique.

Let  $G_{i,j}(Z)$  denote the generating function for the FPT, defined as,

$$G_{i,j}(Z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} Z^{\nu} \hat{G}_{i,j}(\nu).$$
 (4)

Multiplying both sides of Eqns.(1) by  $Z^{\nu}$  and summing over  $\nu$  from 1 to  $\infty$ , we get,

$$G_{k,k-1}(Z) = Z \frac{k}{2N} + Z \frac{2N-k}{2N} G_{k+1,k-1}(Z),$$
 for  $k = 1, \dots, N-1$   
 $G_{N,N-1}(Z) = Z.$  (5)

Equivalent relations, not given here, can be obtained for the case with odd number balls. From Eqns.(5), a terminating continued fraction relation for  $G_{k,k-1}(Z)$  can be derived by noting that  $G_{k+1,k-1}(Z) = G_{k+1,k}(Z) \times G_{k,k-1}(Z)$ , by virtue of convolution theorem. Substituting the convolution in Eqns. (5), we get,

$$G_{k,k-1}(Z) = \frac{Z\frac{k}{2N}}{1 - Z\frac{2N-k}{2N}G_{k+1,k}(Z)}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, N-1$$

$$G_{N,N-1}(Z) = Z. \quad (6)$$

In fact, by convolution we have  $G_{m,0}(Z) = \prod_{k=1}^m G_{k,k-1}(Z)$ , for  $m = 1, \dots, N$ . Thus in principle we have obtained the distribution of relaxation time from an arbitrary state belonging to  $\Omega(2, N)$ , to the zero ground state, though the expressions are in Z space.

For calculating the mean first passage time(MFPT), from the state k to the state k-1, we differentiate  $G_{k,k-1}(Z)$  with respect to Z and set Z=1. Let  $F_{k,k-1}$  denote the MFPT from k to k-1. For the problem with even number of balls, we get,

$$F_{k,k-1} = \frac{2N - k}{k} F_{k+1,k} + \frac{2N}{k}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, N - 1$$

$$F_{N,N-1} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{2N}{N}. \quad (7)$$

The above can be cast in a convenient matrix notation,

$$|F\rangle = A|F\rangle + |U\rangle,\tag{8}$$

where  $|F\rangle$  is a column vector  $(F_{1,0} \quad F_{2,1} \quad \cdots, F_{N,N-1})^{\dagger}$  and  $|U\rangle$  is the column vector representing the inhomogeneities,  $(2N/1 \quad 2N/2 \quad \cdots \quad 1)^{\dagger}$ . Here the superscript  $^{\dagger}$  denotes the transpose operation. The  $N \times N$  matrix A has elements given by  $A_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j-1} \times (2N-i)/i$ . We can cast Eq. (8) as  $B|F\rangle = |U\rangle$  where B = I - A. The matrix B has all its diagonal

elements unity; all the other elements except those in the first upper diagonal are zero. The matrix elements of B are given by,

$$B_{i,j} = -\left(\frac{2N-i}{i}\right) \times \delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j}. \tag{9}$$

We give below the matrix B explicitly, to facilitate easy visualization of solutions we are going to derive shortly.

To calculate the m-th element  $F_{m,m-1}$  of the vector  $|F\rangle$ , we replace the m-th column of the matrix B by the vector  $|U\rangle$ . Let the matrix thus formed be denoted by  $B_{(m)}$ . Then  $F_{m,m-1}$  is given by Cramer's rule,

$$F_{m,m-1} = \frac{D[B_{(m)}]}{D[B]},\tag{11}$$

where  $D[\cdot]$  denotes the determinant. First we observe that the determinant of the matrix B is unity. The problem reduces to calculating the determinant of  $B_{(m)}$ .

Let us consider the case with m = 1. The determinant of  $B_{(1)}$  can be easily written down by inspection as,

$$F_{1,0} = D\left[B_{(1)}\right] = \frac{2N}{1} + \frac{2N}{2}\left(\frac{2N-1}{1}\right) + \frac{2N}{3}\left(\frac{2N-1}{1}\right)\left(\frac{2N-2}{2}\right) + \cdots + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2N}{N}\left(\frac{2N-1}{1}\right)\left(\frac{2N-2}{2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{2N-(N-1)}{N-1}\right), \tag{12}$$

which can be cast in a compact form as sum over products given by,

$$F_{1,0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{2N}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{n,N} \right) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2N - k}{k}.$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} {}^{2N}C_n + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) {}^{2N}C_N, \tag{13}$$

where  $^{(.)}C_{(.)}$  are the usual binomial coefficients. Noting that  $^{2N}C_n$  is the same as  $^{2N}C_{2N-n}$ , we see immediately, that

$$2 \times F_{1,0} = \sum_{n=1}^{2N-1} {}^{2N}C_n. \tag{14}$$

Add the binomial coefficients  ${}^{2N}C_0 = 1$  and  ${}^{2N}C_{2N} = 1$  to both sides of the equation above. We find that the right hand side becomes  $2^{2N}$ , and we get,

$$F_{1,0} = 2^{2N-1} - 1, (15)$$

which is precisely the expression derived by Lipowski [5]. Note that in Lipowski's paper N denotes the total number of balls whereas here the total number of balls is taken as even (2N), see eq. (15) or odd (2N-1), see Eq. (22). We have considered the two cases separately for bringing out clearly the subtle difference in the reflecting boundary while deriving the master equations, see Eq. (1) and Eq. (3).

Let us now derive closed-form expressions for  $F_{m,m-1}$ . To this end, we replace the m-th column of the matrix B by the vector  $|U\rangle$  and construct the matrix  $B_{(m)}$ , whose determinant gives,

$$F_{m,m-1} = \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} \left(\frac{2N}{n}\right) \prod_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{2N-k}{k} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2N}{N}\right) \prod_{k=m}^{N-1} \frac{2N-k}{k}.$$
 (16)

First we multiply both sides of the above equation by  $\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} (2N-k)/k \equiv (m/2N)^{2N} C_m$ , and get,

$$\left(\frac{m}{2N}\right)^{2N} C_m F_{m,m-1} = \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} \left(\frac{2N}{n}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2N-k}{k} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2N}{N}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{2N-k}{k}.$$

$$= \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} {2N \choose n} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) {2N \choose N}. \tag{17}$$

Now add to both sides of the above equation the term  $\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} {2^{N}C_{n}}$ , and get,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} {}^{2N}C_n + \left(\frac{m}{2N}\right) {}^{2N}C_m F_{m,m-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} {}^{2N}C_n + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) {}^{2N}C_N.$$
 (18)

We see immediately that

$$2 \times \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} {}^{2N}C_n + \left( \frac{m}{2N} \right) {}^{2N}C_m F_{m,m-1} \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{2N-1} {}^{2N}C_n.$$
 (19)

If we add now  ${}^{2N}C_0 = 1$  and  ${}^{2N}C_{2N} = 1$ , to both sides of the above equation, we find the right hand side is simply  $2^{2N}$ . We get

$$F_{m,m-1} = \left(\frac{2N}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2^{N}C_{m}}\right) \left[\left(2^{2N-1} - 1\right) - \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} {2^{N}C_{n}}\right]. \tag{20}$$

It is easily seen that if we substitute m=1 in the above we recover Lipowski's result [5], also derived explicitly in this paper, see Eq.(15). The relaxation time from any state k to the zero ground state can be obtained by summing Eq. (20) over m from 1 to k. Thus we get,

$$F_{k,0} = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \left(\frac{2N}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2NC_m}\right) \left[\left(2^{2N-1} - 1\right) - \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} {2N \choose n}\right]. \tag{21}$$

For odd number of balls the derivation proceeds in the same way, and we get

$$F_{k,0} = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \left(\frac{2N-1}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2N-1}C_m\right) \left[\left(2^{2N-2}-1\right) - \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} 2^{N-1}C_n\right]. \tag{22}$$

Now that we have analytical expression for the relaxation time,  $F_{k,0}$  from an arbitrary state, we can estimate how much it deviates from  $F_{1,0}$ , when the system size goes to infinity. From Eq. (20) it is clear that

$$F_{1,0} > F_{2,1} > F_{3,2} > \dots > F_{N-1,N-2} > F_{N,N-1} (= 1).$$
 (23)

In fact for large N, we have, from Eq. (20),

$$F_{m,m-1} \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} F_{m-1,m-2} \left(\frac{m-1}{2N}\right),$$
 (24)

which implies that,

$$F_{m,m-1} \xrightarrow{N \to \infty} F_{1,0} \frac{(m-1)!}{(2N)^{m-1}}.$$
 (25)

Since,  $F_{k,0} = F_{1,0} + F_{2,1} + \cdots + F_{k,k-1}$ , we have,

$$F_{k,0} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{N\to\infty}{}} F_{1,0} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2N} + \frac{2}{(2N)^2} + \frac{6}{(2N)^3} + \dots + \frac{(k-1)!}{(2N)^{k-1}} \right],$$
 (26)

for all k. Thus to the order of  $N^{-1}$ , we have

$$F_{k,0} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{N\to\infty}{\sim}} F_{1,0}\left(1+\frac{1}{2N}\right),$$
 (27)

for all  $k \geq 2$  and the correction is independent of k. In fact it is easily shown from Eq. (26) that,

$$F_{1,0}\left(1+\frac{1}{2N}\right) < F_{k,0} < F_{1,0}\left(1+\frac{1}{N}\right)$$
 (28)

for all  $k \geq 2$ , when N is large. Thus it is clear that, indeed  $F_{1,0}$  is the principal time scale in the problem and relaxation time from any other state is only negligibly greater than  $F_{1,0}$  for large systems.

This letter, in a way complements the work of Lipowski [5]. We have obtained analytical expressions for the relaxation times to the ground state (with all the balls in one box and the other box empty), starting from an arbitrary initial state (with say, k balls in one box and the rest in the other). We have shown that the relaxation time from the simple state k = 1 (with one ball in one box and the rest in the other) sets the principal time scale in the problem; relaxation from other states takes negligibly more time than this, for large systems. A natural question that arises in this context is whether we can construct a simple two-urn analogue of Ritort's model. To this end we need to suitably modify the energy function defined over the states of  $\Omega(2, N)$ . For example we can define energy as minus the absoluted value of the difference of the number of balls in the two boxes. i.e., E(n) = 2n-N,

where  $n \leq N/2$  is the number of balls in the lower occupancy box(defining the state of the system) and N is the total number of balls. It is easily seen that the state n=0 is the minimum energy ground state with E(0)=-N. The maximum energy state is n=N/2, with E(N/2)=0. It can be shown that an arbitray state k relaxes to the ground state in a time given by  $N\sum_{m=1}^k m^{-1}$ , at zero temperature. However as the temperature increases, the relaxation of energy to its equilibrium value (at that temperature) becomes faster. It is indeed worthwhile investigating if other features like hysteresis and aging are also present in this simple model.

KPNM thanks Forschungszentrum Jülich, for the hospitality extended to him at the Institut für Festkörperforschung.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ehrenfest P 1912 The conceptual foundations of the statistical approach in mechanics, translated by M J Moravcsik (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York) 1959; see also Klein M J ed. 1959 Paul Ehrenfest, collected scientific papers (North-Holland, Amsterdam)
- [2] Ritort F 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** 1190
- [3] Godreche C, Bouchaud J P and Mezard M 1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 L603
- [4] Godreche C and Luck J M 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 1915
- [5] Lipowski A 1997 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30** L91
- [6] Darling D A and Siegert A F J 1953 Ann. Math. Stat. 24 624
- [7] Murthy K P N and Kehr K W 1989 Phys. Rev A40 2082; see also Zwerger W and Kehr K W 1980 Z. Phys B40 157.